

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,120	/822,120 04/09/2004		Mark D. Levitt	117-P-1345USD2	2471	
23322	7590	12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
IPLM GRO	•		AHMED, SHEEBA			
POST OFFICE BOX 18455 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1773		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L/

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/822,120	LEVITT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sheeba Ahmed	1773	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit								
	Sheeba Ahmed	1773								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).										
AMENDMENTS	had a visual the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 			ecause							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 50,017),								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almosto Clark and an andres	ut consolina the							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable if submitted in a separate,	umely liled amending	and canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of							
Claim(s) allowed: None.										
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-19 and 31-40</u> .										
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,3-19 and 31-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:										
The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application if	i condition for allowa	ice because.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)										

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The combination of an acrylic intermediate coating and an acrylate or urethane topcoat is newly presented and would require further search and consideration..

SHEEBA AHMED, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2